

GEOMETRY OF THE UNIT BALL IN VARIOUS HOLOMORPHIC SPACES

Lecture 1

Konstantin Dyakonov

ICREA & Universitat de Barcelona

St. Petersburg
November 25, 2021

- Suppose S is a convex set in a vector space V .

- Suppose S is a convex set in a vector space V .
- A point $x \in S$ is said to be an *extreme point* of S if, whenever we have

$$x = (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda z$$

for some $y, z \in S$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, it follows that $y = z$.

- Suppose S is a convex set in a vector space V .
- A point $x \in S$ is said to be an *extreme point* of S if, whenever we have

$$x = (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda z$$

for some $y, z \in S$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, it follows that $y = z$.

- In other words, x is extreme for S if it is not an interior point of any line segment contained in S .

- Suppose S is a convex set in a vector space V .
- A point $x \in S$ is said to be an *extreme point* of S if, whenever we have

$$x = (1 - \lambda)y + \lambda z$$

for some $y, z \in S$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, it follows that $y = z$.

- In other words, x is extreme for S if it is not an interior point of any line segment contained in S .
- *A prototypical example:* If P is a convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n , then the extreme points of P are precisely its vertices.

- **Immediate observations:**

- **Immediate observations:**
- (1) A point $x \in S$ is extreme for S iff, whenever we have

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$$

for some $y, z \in S$, it follows that $y = z$.

- **Immediate observations:**

- (1) A point $x \in S$ is extreme for S iff, whenever we have

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(y + z)$$

for some $y, z \in S$, it follows that $y = z$.

- (2) Also, a point $x \in S$ is extreme for S iff the only vector $v \in V$ satisfying

$$x + v \in S \quad \text{and} \quad x - v \in S$$

is $v = 0$.

- The most famous result about extreme points is:

Theorem (Krein–Milman)

If S is a compact convex set in a locally convex space, then S is the closed convex hull of its extreme points.

- The most famous result about extreme points is:

Theorem (Krein–Milman)

If S is a compact convex set in a locally convex space, then S is the closed convex hull of its extreme points.

- As a consequence, we mention the following

Corollary

Let X be a Banach space. Then

$$\text{ball}(X^*) := \{\varphi \in X^* : \|\varphi\|_{X^*} \leq 1\}$$

(i.e., the unit ball of the dual space X^) is the weak-star closure of the convex hull of its extreme points. In particular, $\text{ball}(X^*)$ has extreme points.*

- Yet another important result is the Choquet(–Bishop–de Leeuw) theorem.

Theorem (Choquet)

Suppose S is a compact convex subset of a normed (or, more generally, locally convex) space V , and let E be the set of extreme points of S . Then, for each $x \in S$, there exists a probability measure σ supported on E such that

$$x = \int_E y \, d\sigma(y),$$

in the sense that $\varphi(x) = \int_E \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y)$ for all $\varphi \in V^$.*

- Yet another important result is the Choquet(–Bishop–de Leeuw) theorem.

Theorem (Choquet)

Suppose S is a compact convex subset of a normed (or, more generally, locally convex) space V , and let E be the set of extreme points of S . Then, for each $x \in S$, there exists a probability measure σ supported on E such that

$$x = \int_E y \, d\sigma(y),$$

in the sense that $\varphi(x) = \int_E \varphi(y) \, d\sigma(y)$ for all $\varphi \in V^$.*

- The finite-dimensional case ($V = \mathbb{R}^n$) is due to Minkowski; the measures that arise here are finite sums of point masses.

- Given a Banach space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$, recall the notation

$$\text{ball}(X) := \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

- Given a Banach space $X = (X, \|\cdot\|)$, recall the notation

$$\text{ball}(X) := \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

- Now suppose that (Ω, μ) is a measure space and X is a subspace of $L^1 = L^1(\Omega, \mu)$, endowed with the usual norm $\|f\|_1 := \int_{\Omega} |f| d\mu$.

Theorem

Let $f \in X$ be a function with $\|f\|_1 = 1$ satisfying $f \neq 0$ a.e. on Ω . TFAE:

- f is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(X)$.
- Whenever $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on Ω .

Here $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ is the set of real-valued functions in $L^{\infty} = L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$.

- **Remark.** We may drop the assumption that $f \neq 0$ a.e. and replace condition (ii) by the following:
(ii') Whenever $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on the set $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$.

- **Remark.** We may drop the assumption that $f \neq 0$ a.e. and replace condition (ii) by the following:
(ii') Whenever $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on the set $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$.
- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose that (ii) fails, so that there exists a nonconstant function $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ with $fh \in X$.

- **Remark.** We may drop the assumption that $f \neq 0$ a.e. and replace condition (ii) by the following:
(ii') Whenever $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on the set $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$.
- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose that (ii) fails, so that there exists a nonconstant function $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ with $fh \in X$.
- Letting $\alpha := \int |f|h$ and $u := h - \alpha$, we see that $u \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, $u \neq \text{const}$, $fu \in X$, and $\int |f|u = 0$.

- **Remark.** We may drop the assumption that $f \neq 0$ a.e. and replace condition (ii) by the following:
(ii') Whenever $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on the set $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$.
- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose that (ii) fails, so that there exists a nonconstant function $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ with $fh \in X$.
- Letting $\alpha := \int |f|h$ and $u := h - \alpha$, we see that $u \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, $u \neq \text{const}$, $fu \in X$, and $\int |f|u = 0$.
- We may assume, in addition, that $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ (otherwise, replace u by εu , where $\varepsilon > 0$ is suitably small).

- **Remark.** We may drop the assumption that $f \neq 0$ a.e. and replace condition (ii) by the following:
(ii') Whenever $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on the set $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \neq 0\}$.
- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose that (ii) fails, so that there exists a nonconstant function $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ with $fh \in X$.
- Letting $\alpha := \int |f|h$ and $u := h - \alpha$, we see that $u \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$, $u \neq \text{const}$, $fu \in X$, and $\int |f|u = 0$.
- We may assume, in addition, that $\|u\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ (otherwise, replace u by εu , where $\varepsilon > 0$ is suitably small).
- It follows that the functions $f_+ := f(1 + u)$ and $f_- := f(1 - u)$ are *distinct* elements of X . (Indeed, fu is non-null, since u is non-null.)

- Moreover, f_+ and f_- are in $\text{ball}(X)$, because $1 \pm u \geq 0$ and so

$$\|f_{\pm}\|_1 := \int |f|(1 \pm u) = \int |f| = 1.$$

- Moreover, f_+ and f_- are in $\text{ball}(X)$, because $1 \pm u \geq 0$ and so

$$\|f_{\pm}\|_1 := \int |f|(1 \pm u) = \int |f| = 1.$$

- The identity

$$f = \frac{1}{2}(f_+ + f_-)$$

now shows that f is not an extreme point of $\text{ball}(X)$.

- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $\|f + g\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|f - g\|_1 \leq 1$ for some $g \in X$. Assuming (ii), we want to prove that $g = 0$.

- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $\|f + g\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|f - g\|_1 \leq 1$ for some $g \in X$. Assuming (ii), we want to prove that $g = 0$.
- Since $\|f\|_1 = 1$, we actually have $\|f + g\|_1 = \|f - g\|_1 = 1$, and so

$$\int (|f + g| + |f - g|) d\mu = 2.$$

- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $\|f + g\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|f - g\|_1 \leq 1$ for some $g \in X$. Assuming (ii), we want to prove that $g = 0$.
- Since $\|f\|_1 = 1$, we actually have $\|f + g\|_1 = \|f - g\|_1 = 1$, and so

$$\int (|f + g| + |f - g|) d\mu = 2.$$

- Setting $h := g/f$ and $d\nu := |f|d\mu$, we rewrite this as

$$\int (|1 + h| + |1 - h|) d\nu = 2.$$

- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $\|f + g\|_1 \leq 1$ and $\|f - g\|_1 \leq 1$ for some $g \in X$. Assuming (ii), we want to prove that $g = 0$.
- Since $\|f\|_1 = 1$, we actually have $\|f + g\|_1 = \|f - g\|_1 = 1$, and so

$$\int (|f + g| + |f - g|) d\mu = 2.$$

- Setting $h := g/f$ and $d\nu := |f|d\mu$, we rewrite this as

$$\int (|1 + h| + |1 - h|) d\nu = 2.$$

- But $|1 + h| + |1 - h| \geq 2$ a.e. Since $\nu(\Omega) = \|f\|_1 = 1$, it follows that $|1 + h| + |1 - h| = 2$ ν -a.e. (and hence μ -a.e.).

- This in turn implies that h is real-valued, with values in $[-1, 1]$. In particular, $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$.

- This in turn implies that h is real-valued, with values in $[-1, 1]$. In particular, $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$.
- Now, since $fh(=g) \in X$, condition (ii) tells us that $h = \text{const}$ a.e. Moreover, $h \equiv c$ for some $c \in [-1, 1]$.

- This in turn implies that h is real-valued, with values in $[-1, 1]$. In particular, $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$.
- Now, since $fh(=g) \in X$, condition (ii) tells us that $h = \text{const}$ a.e. Moreover, $h \equiv c$ for some $c \in [-1, 1]$.
- Thus, $g = cf$ (with this c) and the equality $\|f + g\|_1 = 1$ yields

$$(1 + c)\|f\|_1 = 1;$$

since $\|f\|_1 = 1$, this implies that $c = 0$.

- This in turn implies that h is real-valued, with values in $[-1, 1]$. In particular, $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$.
- Now, since $fh(=g) \in X$, condition (ii) tells us that $h = \text{const}$ a.e. Moreover, $h \equiv c$ for some $c \in [-1, 1]$.
- Thus, $g = cf$ (with this c) and the equality $\|f + g\|_1 = 1$ yields

$$(1 + c)\|f\|_1 = 1;$$

since $\|f\|_1 = 1$, this implies that $c = 0$.

- We finally conclude that $g = 0$, so f is extreme. □

- This in turn implies that h is real-valued, with values in $[-1, 1]$. In particular, $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$.
- Now, since $fh(=g) \in X$, condition (ii) tells us that $h = \text{const}$ a.e. Moreover, $h \equiv c$ for some $c \in [-1, 1]$.
- Thus, $g = cf$ (with this c) and the equality $\|f + g\|_1 = 1$ yields

$$(1 + c)\|f\|_1 = 1;$$

since $\|f\|_1 = 1$, this implies that $c = 0$.

- We finally conclude that $g = 0$, so f is extreme. □
- **Corollary.** *If μ is atomless, then $\text{ball}(L^1(\Omega, \mu))$ has no extreme points.*

- In what follows, we deal with subspaces of $L^1 := L^1(\mathbb{T}, m)$, where

$$\mathbb{T} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = 1\}$$

and m is normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} .

- In what follows, we deal with subspaces of $L^1 := L^1(\mathbb{T}, m)$, where

$$\mathbb{T} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = 1\}$$

and m is normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} .

- The norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is thus given by $\|f\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)| dm(\zeta)$.

- In what follows, we deal with subspaces of $L^1 := L^1(\mathbb{T}, m)$, where

$$\mathbb{T} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta| = 1\}$$

and m is normalized Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T} .

- The norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ is thus given by $\|f\|_1 := \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(\zeta)| dm(\zeta)$.
- The *Fourier coefficients* of a function $f \in L^1$ are the numbers

$$\widehat{f}(k) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \bar{\zeta}^k f(\zeta) dm(\zeta), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and the *spectrum* of f is the set

$$\text{spec } f := \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \widehat{f}(k) \neq 0\}.$$

- The *Hardy space* H^1 is defined by

$$H^1 := \{f \in L^1 : \text{spec } f \subset \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

- The *Hardy space* H^1 is defined by

$$H^1 := \{f \in L^1 : \text{spec } f \subset \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

- We may also view elements of H^1 as holomorphic functions on the unit disk

$$\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

(use the Poisson integral to extend the function from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{D}).

- The *Hardy space* H^1 is defined by

$$H^1 := \{f \in L^1 : \text{spec } f \subset \mathbb{Z}_+\},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_1$.

- We may also view elements of H^1 as holomorphic functions on the unit disk

$$\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$$

(use the Poisson integral to extend the function from \mathbb{T} to \mathbb{D}).

- By definition, a non-null function $F \in H^1$ is *outer* if

$$\log |F(0)| = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |F(\zeta)| dm(\zeta).$$

- A function f in $H^\infty := H^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is *inner* if $|f| = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} .

- A function I in $H^\infty := H^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is *inner* if $|I| = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} .
- The general form of a function $f \in H^1$, $f \neq 0$, is given by $f = IF$, where I is inner and F is outer.

- A function I in $H^\infty := H^1 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is *inner* if $|I| = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} .
- The general form of a function $f \in H^1$, $f \neq 0$, is given by $f = IF$, where I is inner and F is outer.
- For subspaces of H^1 , we have the following criterion.

Theorem

Let X be a subspace of H^1 . Suppose $f \in X$ is a function with $\|f\|_1 = 1$ whose canonical factorization is $f = IF$, with I inner and F outer. TFAE:

- (i) f is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(X)$.
- (ii) Whenever $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}} = L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{T})$ and $fh \in X$, we have $h = \text{const}$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} .
- (iii) Whenever $G \in H^\infty$ is a function satisfying $G/I \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $FG \in X$, we have $G = cI$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

- **Proof.** We already know that (i) \iff (ii).

- **Proof.** We already know that (i) \iff (ii).
- (ii) \implies (iii). If (iii) fails, then there is $G \in H^\infty$ (other than a constant multiple of I) such that $G/I \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $FG \in X$.

- **Proof.** We already know that (i) \iff (ii).
- (ii) \implies (iii). If (iii) fails, then there is $G \in H^\infty$ (other than a constant multiple of l) such that $G/l \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $FG \in X$.
- Put $h := G/l$. Note that $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \neq \text{const}$ and $fh = FG \in X$, so that (ii) fails.

- **Proof.** We already know that (i) \iff (ii).
- (ii) \implies (iii). If (iii) fails, then there is $G \in H^\infty$ (other than a constant multiple of I) such that $G/I \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $FG \in X$.
- Put $h := G/I$. Note that $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \neq \text{const}$ and $fh = FG \in X$, so that (ii) fails.
- (iii) \implies (ii). If (ii) fails, then we can find an $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \neq \text{const}$, with $fh(=: g) \in X$. Now put $G := g/F$. Because g and F are both in H^1 , while F is outer, it follows that $G \in N^+$ (where N^+ is the Smirnov class).

- **Proof.** We already know that (i) \iff (ii).
- (ii) \implies (iii). If (iii) fails, then there is $G \in H^\infty$ (other than a constant multiple of I) such that $G/I \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $FG \in X$.
- Put $h := G/I$. Note that $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \neq \text{const}$ and $fh = FG \in X$, so that (ii) fails.
- (iii) \implies (ii). If (ii) fails, then we can find an $h \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, $h \neq \text{const}$, with $fh(=: g) \in X$. Now put $G := g/F$. Because g and F are both in H^1 , while F is outer, it follows that $G \in N^+$ (where N^+ is the Smirnov class).
- Furthermore,

$$\frac{G}{I} = \frac{g}{IF} = \frac{g}{f} = h,$$

whence $G = Ih \in L^\infty$. Therefore, $G \in N^+ \cap L^\infty = H^\infty$.

- Also, $FG = g \in X$. This means that (iii) fails.



- Also, $FG = g \in X$. This means that (iii) fails. □
- As a quick application, we can now prove the following classical result.

Theorem A (de Leeuw–Rudin, 1958)

A function $f \in H^1$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$ is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(H^1)$ if and only if it is outer.

- Also, $FG = g \in X$. This means that (iii) fails. □
- As a quick application, we can now prove the following classical result.

Theorem A (de Leeuw–Rudin, 1958)

A function $f \in H^1$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$ is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(H^1)$ if and only if it is outer.

- **Proof.** If $f = IF$ is outer, then $I \equiv 1$ and the only functions $G \in H^\infty$ satisfying $G/I (= G) \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ are the constants. Thus, (iii) holds with $X = H^1$.

- Also, $FG = g \in X$. This means that (iii) fails. □
- As a quick application, we can now prove the following classical result.

Theorem A (de Leeuw–Rudin, 1958)

A function $f \in H^1$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$ is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(H^1)$ if and only if it is outer.

- **Proof.** If $f = IF$ is outer, then $I \equiv 1$ and the only functions $G \in H^\infty$ satisfying $G/I (= G) \in L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ are the constants. Thus, (iii) holds with $X = H^1$.
- Conversely, if $f = IF$ is non-outer, then put $G = 1 + I^2 (\in H^\infty)$. Note that $G/I = \bar{I} + I$, which is a nonconstant function in $L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$, while $FG \in H^1$. Thus, (iii) fails for $X = H^1$. □

- Now let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. For $f \in H^1$, put

$$(T_\varphi f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\varphi(\zeta)f(\zeta)}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} dm(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

- Now let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. For $f \in H^1$, put

$$(T_\varphi f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\varphi(\zeta)f(\zeta)}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} dm(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

- Assume that the kernel

$$K_1(\varphi) := \{f \in H^1 : T_\varphi f = 0\}$$

of the (Toeplitz) operator T_φ is nontrivial.

- Now let $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. For $f \in H^1$, put

$$(T_\varphi f)(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\varphi(\zeta)f(\zeta)}{1 - z\bar{\zeta}} dm(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

- Assume that the kernel

$$K_1(\varphi) := \{f \in H^1 : T_\varphi f = 0\}$$

of the (Toeplitz) operator T_φ is nontrivial.

- Note also that

$$K_1(\varphi) := \{f \in H^1 : \overline{z\varphi f} \in H^1\}.$$

- We mention two cases when $K_1(\varphi) \neq \{0\}$. First, this happens if $\varphi \equiv 0$, in which case $K_1(\varphi) = H^1$.

- We mention two cases when $K_1(\varphi) \neq \{0\}$. First, this happens if $\varphi \equiv 0$, in which case $K_1(\varphi) = H^1$.
- Secondly, this happens if $\varphi = \bar{\theta}$, where θ is an inner function; in this case, $K_1(\varphi)$ becomes the *model subspace*

$$K_\theta^1 := H^1 \cap \bar{z}\theta\overline{H^1}$$

associated with θ .

- We mention two cases when $K_1(\varphi) \neq \{0\}$. First, this happens if $\varphi \equiv 0$, in which case $K_1(\varphi) = H^1$.
- Secondly, this happens if $\varphi = \bar{\theta}$, where θ is an inner function; in this case, $K_1(\varphi)$ becomes the *model subspace*

$$K_\theta^1 := H^1 \cap \bar{z}\theta\overline{H^1}$$

associated with θ .

- What are the extreme points of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$?

- When stating the answer (and below), we write $\tilde{f} := \overline{z\varphi f}$.

Theorem B (K.D., last millennium)

For a function $f \in K_1(\varphi)$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$, TFAE:

- f is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$.
- The inner factors of f and \tilde{f} are relatively prime (i.e., they have no nonconstant common inner divisor).

- When stating the answer (and below), we write $\tilde{f} := \overline{z\varphi f}$.

Theorem B (K.D., last millennium)

For a function $f \in K_1(\varphi)$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$, TFAE:

- (i) f is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$.
- (ii) The inner factors of f and \tilde{f} are relatively prime (i.e., they have no nonconstant common inner divisor).

- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose f is extreme, and let u be the GCD of I_f and $I_{\tilde{f}}$.

- When stating the answer (and below), we write $\tilde{f} := \overline{z\varphi f}$.

Theorem B (K.D., last millennium)

For a function $f \in K_1(\varphi)$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$, TFAE:

- (i) f is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$.
- (ii) The inner factors of f and \tilde{f} are relatively prime (i.e., they have no nonconstant common inner divisor).

- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose f is extreme, and let u be the GCD of I_f and $I_{\tilde{f}}$.
- Then $fu \in K_1(\varphi)$, since $\tilde{fu} = \overline{z\varphi fu} = \tilde{f}\bar{u} \in H^1$.

- When stating the answer (and below), we write $\tilde{f} := \overline{z\varphi f}$.

Theorem B (K.D., last millennium)

For a function $f \in K_1(\varphi)$ with $\|f\|_1 = 1$, TFAE:

- (i) f is an extreme point of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$.
- (ii) The inner factors of f and \tilde{f} are relatively prime (i.e., they have no nonconstant common inner divisor).

- **Proof.** (i) \implies (ii). Suppose f is extreme, and let u be the GCD of I_f and $I_{\tilde{f}}$.
- Then $fu \in K_1(\varphi)$, since $\tilde{f}u = \overline{z\varphi fu} = \tilde{f}\bar{u} \in H^1$.
- Similarly, $f\bar{u} \in K_1(\varphi)$, since $f\bar{u} \in H^1$ and $\tilde{f}\bar{u} = \tilde{f}u \in H^1$.

- Thus, $h := 2\Re u = u + \bar{u}$ is in $L^\infty_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $fh \in K_1(\varphi)$. Since f is extreme, it follows that $h = \text{const}$ and hence $u = \text{const}$.

- Thus, $h := 2\Re u = u + \bar{u}$ is in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in K_1(\varphi)$. Since f is extreme, it follows that $h = \text{const}$ and hence $u = \text{const}$.
- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh =: g \in K_1(\varphi)$. Then

$$h = \frac{g}{f} = \frac{\bar{g}}{\bar{f}} = \frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}},$$

whence $\tilde{f}g = f\tilde{g}$.

- Thus, $h := 2\Re u = u + \bar{u}$ is in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in K_1(\varphi)$. Since f is extreme, it follows that $h = \text{const}$ and hence $u = \text{const}$.
- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh =: g \in K_1(\varphi)$. Then

$$h = \frac{g}{f} = \frac{\bar{g}}{\bar{f}} = \frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}},$$

whence $\tilde{f}g = f\tilde{g}$.

- A similar identity holds for the inner factors: $l_{\tilde{f}}l_g = l_f l_{\tilde{g}}$.

- Thus, $h := 2\Re u = u + \bar{u}$ is in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in K_1(\varphi)$. Since f is extreme, it follows that $h = \text{const}$ and hence $u = \text{const}$.
- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh =: g \in K_1(\varphi)$. Then

$$h = \frac{g}{f} = \frac{\bar{g}}{\bar{f}} = \frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}},$$

whence $\tilde{f}g = f\tilde{g}$.

- A similar identity holds for the inner factors: $l_{\tilde{f}}l_g = l_f l_{\tilde{g}}$.
- Since l_f and $l_{\tilde{f}}$ are relatively prime, it follows that l_f divides l_g , i.e., $l_g/l_f \in H^{\infty}$.

- Thus, $h := 2\Re u = u + \bar{u}$ is in $L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh \in K_1(\varphi)$. Since f is extreme, it follows that $h = \text{const}$ and hence $u = \text{const}$.
- (ii) \implies (i). Suppose that $h \in L_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}$ and $fh =: g \in K_1(\varphi)$. Then

$$h = \frac{g}{f} = \frac{\bar{g}}{\bar{f}} = \frac{\tilde{g}}{\tilde{f}},$$

whence $\tilde{f}g = f\tilde{g}$.

- A similar identity holds for the inner factors: $l_{\tilde{f}}l_g = l_f l_{\tilde{g}}$.
- Since l_f and $l_{\tilde{f}}$ are relatively prime, it follows that l_f divides l_g , i.e., $l_g/l_f \in H^{\infty}$.
- Since $h = g/f$, we now deduce that $h \in N^+ \cap L^{\infty} = H^{\infty}$. Because h is real-valued, it must be constant. □

- **Corollary 1.** Every unit-norm function in $K_1(\varphi)$ is writable as $f = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_2)$, where f_1 and f_2 are extreme points of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$.

- **Corollary 1.** Every unit-norm function in $K_1(\varphi)$ is writable as $f = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_2)$, where f_1 and f_2 are extreme points of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$.
- **Corollary 2.** If $\varphi \neq 0$, then the extreme points of $\text{ball}(K_1(\varphi))$ are dense on the unit sphere of $K_1(\varphi)$.

To be continued...